Health



Disease





Of Mice and Stephen.....





The pathogenesis of cell death in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) may involve glutamate-mediated excitotoxicity, oxidative damage, and apoptosis. We used a transgenic mouse model of ALS to determine the effect of inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 in treating the disease. Cyclooxygenase-2, present in spinal neurons and astrocytes, catalyzes the synthesis of prostaglandin E2. Prostaglandin E2 stimulates glutamate release from astrocytes, whereas patients of prostaglandin E2 neurons are production of proinflammatory cytokines, reactive oxygen species, and

"Trust but verify"





"Trust but verify"





"Trust but verify"





Now in patients...

ORIGINAL ARTICLES



Trial of Celecoxib in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis

Merit E. Cudkowicz, MD, MSc,^{1,2} Jeremy M. Shefner, MD, PhD,³ David A. Schoenfeld, PhD,⁴ Hui Zhang, MSc,⁵ Katrin I. Andreasson, MD,³ Jeffrey D. Rothstein, MD, PhD,⁵ Daniel B. Drachman, MD,⁵

Objective: To determine wheth beneficial in preclinical testing, i **Methods:** A double-blind, place randomized (2:1) to receive celed change in upper extremity motor points included safety, survival, c and grip strength, vital capacity, **Results:** Celecoxib did not slow Rating Scale-Revised, or affect s adverse events. Prostaglandin E₂ **Interpretation:** At the dosage stu A biological effect of celecoxib 800mg/day in ALS are not warra



or that has been shown to be

earch subjects with ALS were come measure was the rate of ction strength. Secondary end es in the rate of decline of leg estimates.

ber estimates, ALS Functional ith an increased frequency of d not decline with treatment. cts with ALS, and it was safe. s of celecoxib at a dosage of

Ann Neurol 2006;60:22-31

Of mice and Stephen.....





patientslikeme®

Colony Survival by Time

20,000+ mice 130+ drugs

Of mice and Stephen





Of mice and Stephen





Of mice and Stephen









nature

LINK TO ORIGINAL ARTICLE

journal of science

CORRESPONDENCE

results that are published are hard to reproduce. However, there is an imbalance between

Believe it or not: how much can we rely on published data on potentia drug targets?

Florian Prinz, Thomas Schlange and Khusru Asadullah

Ρá

A recent report by Arrowsmith noted that the success rates for new development projects in Phase II trials have fallen from 28% to 18% in recent years, with insufficient efficacy being the most frequent reason for failure (Phase II failures: 2008–2010. Nature Rev. Drug Discov. 10, 328-329 (2011))1. This indicates the limitations of the predictivity of disease models and also that the validity of the targets being investigated is frequently questionable, which is a crucial issue to address if success rates in clinical trials are to be improved.

Candidate drug targets in industry are derived from various sources, including inhouse target identification campaigns, inlicensing and public sourcing, in particular based on reports published in the literature and presented at conferences. During the transfer of projects from an academic to a company setting, the focus changes from 'interesting'

to 'feasible/marketable', and the financi of pursuing a full-blown drug discove development programme for a particu get could ultimately be hundreds of mil Euros. Even in the earlier stages, inves in activities such as high-throughput ing programmes are substantial, and t validity of published data on potential is crucial for companies when deciding

novel projects. To mitigate some of the risks of such

ments ultimately being wasted, mos maceutical companies run in-house validation programmes. However, val projects that were started in our co based on exciting published data hav resulted in disillusionment when lo could not be reproduced. Talking to tists, both in academia and in industr seems to be a general impression tha



AVIAN INFLUENZA Shift expertise to track mutations where they emerge p.534

EARTH SYSTEMS Past climates give valuable clues to future warming p.537

HISTORY OF SCIENCE Descartes lost letter tracked using Google p.540





Raise standards for preclinical cancer research C. Glenn Begley and Lee M. Ellis propose how methods, publications and

Clinical Research







Clinical Research

"It is simply no longer possible to believe much of the clinical research that is published, or to rely on the judgment of trusted physicians or authoritative medical guidelines.

I take no pleasure in this conclusion, which I reached slowly and reluctantly over my two decades as an editor of The New England Journal of Medicine."

Marcia Angell, MD

patientslikeme®

Parachute use to prevent death and major trauma related to gravitational challenge: systematic review of randomised controlled trials Gordon C S Smith, Jill P Pell

Abstract

Objectives To determine whether parachutes are effective in preventing major trauma related to gravitational challenge.

Design Systematic review of randomised controlled trials.

Data sources: Medline, Web of Science, Embase, and the Cochrane Library databases; appropriate internet sites and citation lists.

Study selection: Studies showing the effects of using a parachute during free fall.

Main outcome measure Death or major trauma, defined as an injury severity score > 15.

Results We were unable to identify any randomised controlled trials of parachute intervention.

Conclusions As with many interventions intended to prevent ill health, the effectiveness of parachutes has not been subjected to rigorous evaluation by using randomised controlled trials. Advocates of evidence

accepted intervention was a fabric device, secured by

not been proved with randomised controlled trials

Department of

Defini The m trauma $15.^{6}$

strings

release

Meta-a Our sta chute a the pre We cho geneity fixed e causes assess tests to



Parachutes reduce the risk of injury after gravitational challenge, but their effectiveness has



Health Care

Hazardous journeys

JLTON/GETT'

Log In / Register | About IHI | Contact Us Search IHI.org...

FIND IT INSTITUTE FOR More Search Options » HEALTHCARE IMPROVEMENT EXPLORE BY INTEREST KNOWLEDGE CENTER USER COMMUNITIES IHI OFFERINGS እ My Filters 🔘 You are here: Home > Knowledge Center > Publications > Why do physicians not follow New to the evidence-based guidelines for preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia? Knowledge Center Knowledge Center Effect of Nonpayment How to Improve Why do physicians not follow evidence-based guidelines for preventing for Preventable Measures ventilator-associated pneumonia? Infections in US Hospitals Changes F 💟 🛅 🖶 🖂 🚼 Profiles in Improvement Stories Improvement: Katharine Last Modified: 09/15/2011 Luther, Vice President, Tools IHI Rello J, Lorente C, Bodi M, Diaz E, Ricart M, Kollef MH. Why do physicians not follow evidence-Publications based guidelines for preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia? A survey based on the •Using Care Bundles to opinions of an international panel of intensivists. Chest. 2002;122(2):656-661. **Improve Health Care** IHI White Papers Quality Case Studies This paper describes the findings of a survey of 110 "opinion leaders on VAP" from 22 A Team Gives Mobility to countries. Respondents were asked to indicate which of 33 evidence-based interventions for Audio and Video Ventilated Patients the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) had been implemented in their •Zero VAP Rate in the ICUs. While the overall implementation rate was reported to be only 80.4 percent, reported Presentations ICU by Reducing Time on implementation rates were higher for those interventions with better evidence regarding Posterboards Sedation effectiveness, including semirecumbent positioning (91.8 percent) and removal of the endotracheal tube as soon as clinically feasible (100 percent). View All Other Websites

View article abstract



INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE

OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

	Leading Cause of Death in the United States*						
1	Heart Disease	652,091					
2	Cancer	559,312					
3	Stroke	143,579					
4	Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease	130,933					
5	Accidents (unintentional injuries)	117,809					
	Preventable Medical Errors**	98,000					
6	Preventable Medical Errors** Diabetes	98,000 75,119					
6 7	Preventable Medical Errors** Diabetes Alzheimer's Disease	98,000 75,119 71,599					
6 7 8	Preventable Medical Errors** Diabetes Alzheimer's Disease Influenza/Pneumonia	98,000 75,119 71,599 63,001					
6 7 8 9	Preventable Medical Errors** Diabetes Alzheimer's Disease Influenza/Pneumonia Nephritis/Nephrosis	98,000 75,119 71,599 63,001 43,901					



REVIEW ARTICLE

A New, Evidence-based Estimate of Patient Harms Associated with Hospital Care

John T. James, PhD

the best estimate from combining these 4 studies is

34,400,000 x 0.69 x 0.0089 = **210,000** preventable adverse events per year that contribute to the death of hospitalized patients



Editor in Chief Charles Denham, MD

Reference	Source of Medical Record Data	Time Covered by Records	No. records Reviewed	Search Tool or Method	Serious Adverse Events (Class F to I) Found (%)	% Deemed Preventable	Lethal Advers Events (%)
OIG (2008)	Medicare beneficiaries in 2 counties	1 wk in August 2008	278	Global trigger tool	43 (15%)	n/s	3 (1.1%)
OIG (2010)	Representative Medicare patients	October 2008	838	Global trigger tool	128 (15%)	44%	12 (1.4%)
Classen et al. (2011)	3 tertiary-care hospitals	October 2004	795	Global trigger tool	167 (21%)	~100%	9 (1.1%)
Landrigan, et al. (2010)	10 hospitals in North Carolina	Jan 2002 through Dec 2007	2341	Global trigger tool	332 (14%)	63%	14 (0.6%)

[†] Cardiac arrest, pulmonary embolism, hematologic event, neurological event.



"In physical science the first essential step in the direction of learning any subject is to find principles of numerical reckoning and practicable methods for measuring some quality connected with it. I often say that when you can measure what you are speaking about, and express it in numbers, you know something about it; but when you cannot measure it, when you cannot express it in numbers, your knowledge is of a meagre and unsatisfactory kind; it may be the beginning of knowledge, but you have scarcely in your thoughts advanced to the state of Science, whatever the matter may be."

Lord Kelvin



Stratification :

Conditions & Subtypes. Meaningful, computable, measurable, range and variance, of symptomology, biology, pathology, environment, and functional impact of disease



Signal Optimization

Methods, models, & tools that shorten the time to have meaningful confidence about the effectiveness of an intervention in a single patient or model

conditions, symptoms, treatments...

Q

Password

Iforgot

Sign in

Track your health

Chart your health over time and contribute to research that can advance medicine for all

Live better, together!™

Making healthcare better for everyone through sharing, support, and research

Join now

(it's free!)



Learn from others

Compare treatments, symptoms and experiences with people like you and take control of your health



Connect with people like you

Share your experience, give and get support to improve your life and the lives of others

Patients Get Better

Users reported that PatientsLikeMe helped them...



... better understand seizures

... understand side effects

...manage condition because of recording

... be more adherent

...gain greater control over seizures

...reduce side effects

...have fewer visits to the ER

...insist on seeing a specialist

Social 'Dose response' curve



Number of Social Ties on PatientsLikeMe (p<0.001) for differences between "none" and all other categories

Patient assessment of physician quality measure performance

Qu	ality measure	Strongly agree (%)	Agree (%)	Disagree (%)	Strongly disagree (%)	N/A (%)
1a.	Type of seizures	51	38	8	4	0
b.	Frequency of seizures	62	25	5	5	2
2.	Know epilepsy syndrome	48	33	13	6	0.5
3.	EEG performed	89	10.5	0	0.5	0
4.	Neuroimaging performed	86	11	1	2	0.5
5.	Side effects assessed	44	24	15	14	2
6.	Epilepsy surgery referral*	35	13	14	20	19
7.	Discussed safety issues	48	26	9	12	5
8.	Birth control**	27	19	10	7	37

n=221 except for *data only shown for patients with intractable epilepsy, **question only asked of females aged 14–44 EEG, electroencephalography

Quality measure performance by specialty



Number of Measures Adhered To

Box plots of total number of measures performed (excluding contraception & surgery referral items) broken down by specialty of treating physician. Black line represents the median, box is the inter-quartile range (IQR), whiskers are 1.5x IQR, and circles are outliers (>1.5x IQR)

PCP, primary care physician

Sleep & chronic illness



Cross Condition Research

Insomnia severity by condition

N=67,000 PLM Profile Data



"All models are wrong, but some are useful."

George Edward Pelham Box



Random Control Matching Mean decline in FRS over time



Disease Duration & Disability Matching Mean decline in FRS over time







Function

Time from onset



Algorithmic matching



Mean decline in FRS over time





_computational

ANALYSIS

Accelerated clinical discovery using self-reported patient data collected online and a patient-matching algorithm

sions; helpins

scientific lite

the safety of

substantial s

without a wa

something (i

combination

efficacious, le

omized clini

a cruel and r

sive weaknes

onset is 2-5

of lithium ca

blind trial of

from the me

astic about th

spreadsheet

data collectic

Paul Wicks, Timothy E Vaughan, Michael P Massagli & James Heywood

Patients with serious diseases may experiment with drugs that have not received regulatory approval. Online patient communities structured around quantitative outcome data have the potential to provide an observational environment to monitor such drug usage and its consequences. Here we describe an analysis of data reported on the website PatientsLikeMe by patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) who experimented with lithium carbonate treatment. To reduce potential bias owing to lack of randomization, we developed an algorithm to match 149 treated patients to multiple controls (447 total) based on the progression of their disease course. At 12 months after treatment, we found no effect of lithium on disease progression. Although observational studies using unblinded data are not a substitute for doubleblind randomized control trials, this study reached the same conclusion as subsequent randomized trials, suggesting that data reported by patients over the internet may be useful for accelerating clinical discovery and evaluating the effectiveness of drugs already in use.

Online communities such as PatientsLikeMe that provide robust methods for patients to record and share data may have the potential to be used to conduct observational studies to assess the effectiveness of treatments. Although observational studies inherently cannot meet

to investigate the use of self-experimentation, complementary and alternative medicine, and off-label drug usage⁵. There are a number of benefits to systematically studying patients' self-experimentation. First, it is in



Figure 1 Conceptual overview of the online study environment and matching algorithm. (a) The number of patients choosing to experiment with lithium carbonate peaked in the months after publication of a small clinical trial in Italy. Preliminary negative results from this patient-led study were announced before the first randomized control trial had started recruitment. (b) Aggregate view of FRS scores for all 348 patients analyzed in this study. These data were publicly available online during the study. (c) Illustration of disease progression curves of control individuals that are good and poor matches for a particular patient. Both control individuals would be considered comparable by traditional matching criteria. The PatientsLikeMe matching algorithm minimizes the area between the disease progression curves for a target patient and a control.



Estimated treatment effect sizes



"To raise new questions, new possibilities, to regard old problems from a new angle, requires creative imagination and marks real advance in science."

Albert Einstein









"The future is already here it's just not very evenly distributed"

William Gibson

Personal Omics Profiling Reveals Dynamic Molecular and Medical Phenotypes

Cell

Rui Chen,^{1,11} George I. Mias,^{1,11} Jennifer Li-Pook-Than,^{1,11} Lihua Jiang,^{1,11} Hugo Y.K. Lam,^{1,12} Rong Chen,^{2,12} Elana Miriami,¹ Konrad J. Karczewski,¹ Manoj Hariharan,¹ Frederick E. Dewey,³ Yong Cheng,¹ Michael J. Clark,¹ Hogune Im,¹ Lukas Habegger,^{6,7} Suganthi Balasubramanian,^{6,7} Maeve O'Huallachain,¹ Joel T. Dudley,² Sara Hillenmeyer,¹ Rajini Haraksingh,¹ Donald Sharon,¹ Ghia Euskirchen,¹ Phil Lacroute,¹ Keith Bettinger,¹ Alan P. Boyle,¹ Maya Kasowski,¹ Fabian Grubert,¹ Scott Seki,² Marco Garcia,² Michelle Whirl-Carrillo,¹ Mercedes Gallardo,^{9,10} Maria A. Blasco,⁹ Peter L. Greenberg,⁴ Phyllis Snyder,¹ Teri E. Klein,¹ Russ B. Altman,^{1,5} Atul J. Butte,² Euan A. Ashley,³ Mark Gerstein,^{6,7,8} Kari C. Nadeau,² Hua Tang,¹ and Michael Snyder^{1,*} ¹Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine ²Division of Systems Medicine and Division of Immunology and Allergy, Department of Pediatrics ³Center for Inherited Cardiovascular Disease, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine ⁴Division of Hematology. Department of Medicine

Measurement Based Medicine

We should measure of the severity of each condition and its impact on the patient.

Measures should effectively support the patient in their life choices, the clinician in their care choices, and the researcher in learning what is effective

Measures should evolve to increasingly support the patient, clinician and researcher.

Measures should be evaluated based on their ability to predict the patients future state with highest accuracy

Every patient is measured as part of care to the degree that is appropriate for their condition(s) such that their experience will guide next patient.



Disease